

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,338	03/29/2001	Martin Sting	1370/14 5357	
7	590 07/25/2003	•		
Michael J Cumming Pitney Bowes Inc Intellectual Property Law Department			EXAMINER	
			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
35 Waterview Drive P O Box 3000 Shelton, CT 06848-8000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
,		•	3721	, 1
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I A - U - N -	Annicont(a)	NC		
- · ·		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	じラ		
e.	Advisory Action	09/821,338	STING ET AL.			
		Examiner Someh H. Toufik	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication appro	Sameh H. Tawfik		266		
Extee have ee und 2) as simely f	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIOn void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which is a timely filed amendment which is a time. The condition of the final rejection of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of the final rejection under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amonths shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the matter than three months after the matter than the period of the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the matter than three months after the matter than the period of the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the matter than three months after the matter than the period of the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the matter than the matter than three months after the matter than three months after the matter than three months a	N FOR ALLOWANCI ation. A proper reply h places the applicatily filed Request for Continuous forms of the final rejection, which are the final rejection originally set in the final Continuous forms of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the appeal.	to a on in ontinued thever is later. In n. See MPEP oriate extension priate extension office action; or		
(b (c	 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancel 	pelow); n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim			
4.□	NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejectory newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s				
6.□	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	e Continuation Sheet.				
7.⊠	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examin	er.		
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10.	Other:	4	gre 2			
			EUGENE KIM MARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner still believes that Belec's reference discloses "the envelope conveying arrangment...oriented transversely to the puch in direction", see for example (Fig. 3; via the envelope conveying arrangement via belt 34 is being oriented transversely to the pusher 50 whild it is in it is way between the two rollers). The examiner also believes that raising and lowering feature for roller bar could be automated, since it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. I re Venner, 120 USPQ 192...